Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)
	10/8	813,576	BABSON ET AL.
Exammer-initiated interview Summary		miner	Art Unit
	Dav	vid L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants:	St	atus of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .		(3)	
(2) Michael E. Whitham.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2006	Ti	me: <u>1:50 PM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	epresentative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed			
Claims discussed: 25-35	,		
Prior art documents discussed: not discussed			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI Mr. Whitham authorized an examiner's amendment add cancelling claims 33-35.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The example parate record	miner will provide and of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		.	
Da Low (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	unnlicant/Anni	icant's Penresents	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Facilities)	while all a while	cant a trebiesenta	uvo oigilatute – ii appropriate)